arXiv:1512.07911v1 [math.CO] 24 Dec 2015

Geometric representation of 3-restricted min-wise independent sets of permutations

Victor Bargachev

December 29, 2015

Abstract

We study properties of 3-restricted min-wise independent sets of permutations and prove that the class of these sets is isomorphic to the class of subsets of vertices of multi-dimentional hypercube of certain size with certain pair-wise distances fixed.

1 Definitions and Main Theorem

Throughout the paper we use the following notation. Let $[n] = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and S_n denote symmertic group acting on $[n]$. Let ind() denote truth indicator, i.e. $\mathrm{ind}(0 < 1) = 1$ and $\mathrm{ind}(0 > 1) = 0$. Let $B = \{0, 1\}$ and B^n is a set of vertices of n-dimensional hypercube, or similary set of binary vectors of length n. For $\pi \in S_n$ we write it down as $\underline{\pi(0)\pi(1)\dots\pi(n-1)}$, i.e. $\pi = \underline{120}$ means that $\pi(0) = 1$, $\pi(1) = 2$ and $\pi(2) = 0$. Let # denote a size of set, e.g. $\#[n] = n$.

Definition 1. *[1] A set of permutations $S \subset S_n$ is called 3-restricted min-wise-independent (3-mwi) if $\forall X \subset [n] : |X| \le 3$ and $\forall x \in X$*

$$\#\{\pi \in S : \pi(x) = \min(\pi(X))\} = \frac{|S|}{|X|}.$$

It is often more handy to use the equivalent definition.

Lemma 1. *A set of permutations $S \subset S_n$ is 3-mwi if and only if for all distinct $x, y, z \in [n]$*

$$\#\{\pi \in S : \pi(x) < \pi(y) < \pi(z)\} = \frac{|S|}{6}.$$

Proof. Suppose S is 3-mwi, let $|S| = m$ and let $f(u,v,w) := \#\{\pi \in S : \pi(u) < \pi(v) < \pi(w)\}$. Then $\frac{m}{2} = \#\{\pi \in S : \pi(y) < \pi(z)\} = f(x,y,z) + f(y,x,z) + f(y,z,x)$, $\frac{m}{3} = \#\{\pi \in S : \pi(y) < \pi(x) \wedge \pi(y) < \pi(z)\} = f(y,x,z) + f(y,z,x)$ and hence $f(x,y,z) = \frac{m}{2} - \frac{m}{3} = \frac{m}{6}$. The back implication is obvious. □

Throughout the paper S will denote a set of permutations of order n and m will denote its size: $S = \{\pi_0, \ldots, \pi_{m-1}\} \subset S_n$. Now let $x, y \in [n]$ be distinct and let us define a binary vector $v_{xy} \in B^m$:

$$(v_{xy})_i := \mathrm{ind}(\pi_i(x) < \pi_i(y)).$$

If S is 3-mwi then from definition is follows that

$$v_{xy}^2 = \sum_{i \in [m]} \mathrm{ind}(\pi_i(x) < \pi_i(y)) = \#\{i \in [m] : \pi_i(x) = \min \pi_i(\{x,y\})\} = \frac{m}{2}.$$

Similarly, for all distinct $x, y, z \in [n]$ we have

$$v_{xy} \cdot v_{xz} = \frac{m}{3},\ v_{xy} \cdot v_{yz} = \frac{m}{6} \text{ and } v_{xy} \cdot v_{yx} = 0.$$

Definition 2. *Let $I = \{(x,y) : x, y \in [n], x \neq y\}$ be a sequence of pairs. We say that set of vectors $V = \{v_{xy} \in B^m : (x,y) \in I\}$ indexed with I is 3-mwi if for all distinct $x, y, z \in [n]$*

$$v_{xy}^2 = \frac{m}{2},\ v_{xy} \cdot v_{yx} = 0,\ v_{xy} \cdot v_{xz} = \frac{m}{3},\ v_{xy} \cdot v_{yz} = \frac{m}{6}.$$

For $i \in [m]$ and $x \in [n]$ let us define

$$\mathrm{order}_V(i,x) := \#\{y : y \neq x, (v_{xy})_i = 0\} = n - 1 - \sum_{y \neq x} (v_{xy})_i. \tag{1}$$

Above we have already shown how to construct 3-mwi set of vectors V from 3-mwi set of permutations S. Moreover, from a construction it can be seen that $\forall i, x\ \pi_i(x) = \mathrm{order}_V(i,x)$ and hence S can be restored from V. What we are going to prove is that every 3-mwi set of vectors corresponds to some 3-mwi set of permutaions:

Theorem 1. *Let $V \subset B^m$ be a 3-mwi set of vectors. Then $\forall i \in [m]$ and $\forall x, y \in [n], x \neq y$ we have* $\mathrm{order}_V(i,x) \neq \mathrm{order}_V(i,y)$ *and*

$$S = \{\pi_i : i \in [m]\} \subset S_n \text{ where } \pi_i(x) := \mathrm{order}_V(i,x) \tag{2}$$

is 3-mwi set of permutations.

2 Proof of the Theorem 1

Lemma 2. *Let $u, v, w \in B^m$ with $u^2 = v^2 = w^2 = \frac{m}{2}, u \cdot v = v \cdot w = \frac{m}{3}$ and $u \cdot w = \frac{m}{6}$. Then*

$$\forall i \; u_i = 1 \wedge w_i = 1 \Rightarrow v_i = 1.$$

Proof. For $k, l, m \in B$, define $c_{klm} := \#\{i \in [m] : u_i = k, v_i = l, w_i = m\}$. Let $c_{kl} := c_{kl0} + c_{kl1}$. Then $v^2 = \frac{m}{2}$ implies $c_{01} + c_{11} = \frac{m}{2}$, but $u \cdot v = c_{11} = \frac{m}{3}$ and hence $c_{01} = \frac{m}{2} - \frac{m}{3} = \frac{m}{6}$. Now by subtracting $u \cdot w = c_{101} + c_{111} = \frac{m}{6}$ from $v \cdot w = c_{011} + c_{111} = \frac{m}{3}$ obtain $c_{011} - c_{101} = \frac{m}{6}$ or $c_{101} = c_{011} - \frac{m}{6} = c_{01} - c_{010} - \frac{m}{6} = -c_{010}$, which means that $c_{101} = 0$. □

Let set of vectors $V = \{v_{xy}\}$ be fixed. For a given i define binary relation $\prec_i$ on $[n]$:

$$x \prec_i y := (x \neq y) \wedge ((v_{xy})_i = 1).$$

Lemma 3. *Let V be a 3-mwi set of vectors and i be fixed. Then relation $\prec_i$ defines a liner order on $[n]$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in [n]$ be distinct. Now $v_{xy} \cdot v_{yx} = 0$ together with $v_{xy}^2 = v_{yx}^2 = \frac{m}{2}$ implies $(v_{xy})_i = 1 - (v_{yx})_i$, which gives $x \prec_i y \iff \neg(y \prec_i x)$, i.e. relation $\prec_i$ is anti-symmetric.

Let $x, y, z \in [n]$ be distinct and let $x \prec_i y$ and $y \prec_i z$. Put $u = v_{xy}, v = v_{xz}$, and $w = v_{yz}$. By assumption V is 3-mwi which implies $u^2 = v^2 = w^2 = \frac{m}{2}$, $u \cdot v = v \cdot w = \frac{m}{3}$, and $u \cdot w = \frac{m}{6}$. By definition, $x \prec_i y$ implies $u_i = 1$, $y \prec_i z$ implies $w_i = 1$ and hence Lemma 2 states that $v_i = 1$, i.e. $x \prec_i z$. This means that $\prec_i$ is transitive. □

Lemma 4. *Let V be a 3-mwi set of vectors and $i \in [m]$ be fixed. Then*

$$x \prec_i y \iff \mathrm{order}_V(i, x) < \mathrm{order}_V(i, y).$$

Proof. Define $f(u) := \{v \in [n] : v \prec_i u\}$ and rewrite (1): $\mathrm{order}_V(i, u) = \#f(u)$. Now $x \prec_i y$ implies $\forall z(z \prec_i x \Rightarrow z \prec_i y)$ and hence $f(x) \subset f(y)$. So $\mathrm{order}_V(i, y) = \#f(y) = \#f(x) + \#(f(y) \setminus f(x)) > \mathrm{order}_V(i, x)$ since $x \in f(y) \setminus f(x)$. This provides left-to-right implication and another one is symmetric. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1. Let V be a 3-mwi vector set. First of all, Lemma 4 states that functions π_i defined in (2) are indeed permutations. For $u, v \in [n]$ and $i \in [m]$ define $f_i(u, v) := \{i : \pi_i(u) < \pi_i(v)\} = \{i : \mathrm{order}_V(i, u) < \mathrm{order}_V(i, v)\} = \{i : x \prec_i y\} = \{i : (v_{uv})_i = 1\}$. Now let $x, y, z \in [n]$ be distinct: $\#\{i : \pi_i(x) < \pi_i(y) < \pi_i(z)\} = \#(f_i(x, y) \cap f_i(y, z)\} = \#\{i : (v_{xy})_i = 1 \wedge (v_{yz})_i = 1\} = v_{xy} \cdot v_{yz} = \frac{m}{6}$, so Lemma 1 provides that $S = \{\pi_i\}$ is indeed 3-mwi permutations set.

3 Usage Example

We will use the Theorem 1 to prove that the maximal order of 3-mwi permuations set of size 6 is 4 ($m = 6 \Rightarrow n \leq 4$).

Suppose $n = 5$, $S \subset S_n$ is 3-mwi and V is a corresponding vector set. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$v_{01} = 000111,\ v_{02} = 001011,\ v_{12} = 011001.$$

Let $f(v, d) := \{u \in B^m : u^2 = \frac{m}{2}, u \cdot v = d\}$. Then

$$v_{03}, v_{04} \in V_0 := f(v_{01}, \frac{m}{3}) \cap f(v_{02}, \frac{m}{3}) = \{010011, 100011, 001101, 001110\}.$$
$$v_{13}, v_{14} \in V_1 := f(v_{01}, \frac{m}{6}) \cap f(v_{12}, \frac{m}{3}) = \{101001, 110001, 011010, 011100\}.$$
$$v_{23}, v_{24} \in V_2 := f(v_{02}, \frac{m}{6}) \cap f(v_{12}, \frac{m}{6}) = \{100101, 101100, 010110, 110010\}.$$

Let $v_{03} = 010011$. Then $v_{13} \in V_1 \cap f(v_{03}, \frac{m}{3}) = \{110001, 011010\}$ and $v_{23} \in V_2 \cap f(v_{03}, \frac{m}{3}) = \{010110, 110010\}$. Besides $v_{04} \in V_0 \cap f(v_{03}, \frac{m}{3})$ implies $v_{04} = 100011$, $v_{14} \in V_1 \cap f(v_{04}, \frac{m}{3}) = \{101001, 110001\}$ and $v_{24} \in V_2 \cap f(v_{04}, \frac{m}{3}) = \{100101, 110010\}$. Now $v_{13} \cdot v_{14} = \frac{m}{3}$ implies $v_{14} = 101001$, which implies $v_{24} = 100101$ and $v_{13} = 110001$, which implies $v_{23} = 110010$. But $v_{23} \cdot v_{24} \neq \frac{m}{3}$ which contradicts the assumption.

Similarly $v_{03} = 001101$ implies $v_{04} = 001110$, $v_{13} = \{101001, 011100\}$, $v_{23} = \{100101, 101100\}$, $v_{14} = \{011010, 011100\}$ and $v_{24} = \{101100, 010110\}$. Now $v_{13} \cdot v_{14} = \frac{m}{3}$ implies $v_{13} = 011100$, $v_{14} = 011010$, $v_{23} = 101100$, $v_{24} = 010110$ and $v_{23} \cdot v_{24} \neq \frac{m}{6}$.

Cases $v_{03} = 100011$ and $v_{03} = 001110$ imply $v_{04} = 010011$ and $v_{04} = 001101$ correspondingly, so the above deduction can be applied with 3 and 4 interchanged. This completes the proof for $n = 5$.

For $n = 4$ let us take $v_{03} = 010011 \in V_0$, $v_{13} = 110001 \in V_1$, $v_{23} = 110010 \in V_2$, and check that $v_{03} \cdot v_{13} = v_{03} \cdot v_{23} = v_{13} \cdot v_{23} = \frac{m}{3}$, which is suffient for a resulting vectors set to be 3-mwi. Let us use (1) to restore the permuations set: $\pi_0(0) = n - 1 - (v_{01})_0 - (v_{02})_0 - (v_{03})_0 = 3$, $\pi_0(1) = n-1-(1-v_{01})_0-(v_{12})_0-(v_{13})_0 = 1$, $\pi_0(2) = n-1-(1-v_{02})_0-(1-v_{12})_0-(v_{23})_0 = 0$ and $\pi_0(3) = n - 1 - (1 - v_{03})_0 - (1 - v_{13})_0 - (1 - v_{23})_0 = 2$, so $\pi_0 = \underline{3102}$. Continuing doing that obtain 3-mwi permutations set $S = \{\underline{3102}, \underline{2013}, \underline{2130}, \underline{2310}, \underline{0312}, \underline{0132}\}$.

References

[1] A. Broder, M. Charikar, A. Frieze, M. Mitzenmacher, *Min-Wise Independent Permutations.*